

	Previous Form 27	Proposed Form 27 ¹	New/Amended Form 27 ²
1	Required a separate form to be filed for each patent (Para 1)	Required a separate form to be filed for each patent (Para 1) However, required details of all related patents to be provided, where the value accrued from a particular patented invention cannot be derived separately from the value accrued from related patents, and all such patents are granted to the same patentee(s)	Gives an option to file one form in respect of multiple patents, provided all of them are related patents , wherein the approximate revenue / value accrued from a particular patented invention cannot be derived separately from the approximate revenue/value accrued from related patents, and all such patents are granted to the same patentee(s)) (Para 1)
2	Required statement of the calendar year which the working statement relates to (Para 2) [Rule 131(2) of Patent Rules, 2003 previously required the Form to be filed in respect of every calendar year within 3 months of the end of each year]	Required statement of the calendar year which the working statement relates to (Para 2) [Rule 131(2) previously required the Form to be filed in respect of every calendar year within 3 months of the end of each year]	Requires statement of the financial year which the working statement relates to (Para 2) [Amended Rule 131(2) requires the Form to be filed in respect of every financial year within 6 months from the expiry of each such financial year]
3	Required patentees/licensees to only “Give whatever details are available” (Para 3)	Required all details sought to be given (Paras 3, 4 & 5)	Requires all details sought to be given (Paras 3, 4 & 5)

¹ Proposed by Patents (Amendment) Rules, 2019.

² Notified by Patent (Amendment) Rules, 2020.

4	Did not prescribe any word limit for the specifying reasons for not working the patented invention and steps being taken for working the invention, if the invention has not been worked (Para 3(i)(a))	Prescribed a word limit of 500 words for the specifying the details with justification for not working the patented invention (Para 5)	Prescribes a word limit of 500 words for the specifying reasons for not working the patented invention(s) and steps being taken for working the invention(s), if the invention(s) have not been worked (Para 5)
5	Required the quantum and value of the patented product manufactured/imported to be stated, if the invention has been worked (Para 3(i)(b))	Required approximate value accrued in India to the patentee(s)/ licensee furnishing the statement from patented invention(s) (patented product or product(s) directly obtained by the patented process, as the case may be) manufactured/imported to be stated, if the invention(s) have been worked (Para 4(a)&(b)) Also, required details to be given in respect of the above (Para 4(c)) Did not require quantum of the patented invention manufactured/imported to be stated	Requires approximate revenue / value accrued in India to the patentee(s)/ licensee furnishing the statement from patented invention(s) manufactured/imported to be stated, if the invention(s) have been worked (Para 4(a)) Also, requires a brief (of maximum 500 words) to be given in respect of the above (Para 4(b)) Does not require quantum of the patented invention manufactured/imported to be stated
6	Required country wise details to be given if the patented product has been imported from other countries (Para 3(i)(b)(ii))	Did not require country wise details to be given if the patented product has been imported from other countries (Para 4(a)(2))	Does not require country wise details to be given if the patented product has been imported from other countries (Para 4(a)(2))

7	Required disclosure of licenses and sub-licenses granted in respect of the patented product during the year (Para 3(ii))	Did not require disclosure of licenses and sub-licenses granted in respect of the patented product during the year (Para 4)	Does not require disclosure of licenses and sub-licenses granted in respect of the patented product during the year (Para 4)
8	Required statement of whether public requirement of the patented product has been met partly/adequately/to the fullest extent at reasonable price (Para 3(iii))	Did not require statement of whether public requirement of the patented product has been met partly/adequately/to the fullest extent at reasonable price (Para 4)	Does not require statement of whether public requirement of the patented product has been met partly/adequately/to the fullest extent at reasonable price (Para 4)
9	Required signature by the person(s) giving the statement (Para 4)	Required signature by patentee(s) or licensee(s) giving the statement (Para 4)	Requires signature by patentee(s), licensee or authorised agent furnishing the statement (Para 6)
10	<p>Did not include a note reiterating that “[e]very patentee and every licensee (exclusive or otherwise) is required to file this Form” as mandated by Section 146 of the Patents Act, 1970 and Rule 131(1) of Patent Rules, 2003</p> <p>Did not provide any clarity as to whether multiple owners of a patent could file the Form jointly and whether each licensee had to file the Form individually</p>	<p>Added a note reiterating that “[e]very patentee and every licensee (exclusive or otherwise) is required to file this Form” as mandated by Section 146 of the Patents Act, 1970 and Rule 131(1) of Patent Rules, 2003</p> <p>Further, gave multiple owners of a patent the option to file the Form jointly, but clarified that each licensee shall however file the Form individually. (Note following para 6)</p>	<p>Adds a note reiterating that “[e]very patentee and every licensee (exclusive or otherwise) is required to file this Form” as mandated by Section 146 of the Patents Act, 1970 and Rule 131(1) of Patent Rules, 2003</p> <p>Further, gives multiple owners of a patent the option to file the Form jointly, but clarifies that each licensee shall however file the Form individually. (Note following para 6)</p>