

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).279/2017

KUDRAT SANDHU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

[ITEM NO.7.1 - W.P.(C) No. 558/2017 (PIL-W)
(IA No.81648/2017-STAY APPLICATION and FOR ADMISSION)];
[ITEM NO.7.2 - W.P.(C) No. 561/2017 (PIL-W)
(IA No.86008/2017-STAY APPLICATION and)];
[ITEM NO.7.3 - W.P.(C) No. 640/2017 (X)
(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.68312/2017-STAY APPLICATION and IA
No.140712/2017-impleading party and IA No.140715/2017-PERMISSION TO
FILE APPLICATION FOR DIRECTION)];
[ITEM NO.7.4 - W.P.(C) No. 1016/2017 (PIL-W)
(FOR STAY APPLICATION ON IA 107276/2017)
[ITEM NO.7.5 - W.P.(C) No. 788/2017 (X)];
[ITEM NO.7.6 - W.P.(C) No. 925/2017 (PIL-W)
(FOR ADMISSION)];
[ITEM NO.7.7 - W.P.(C) No. 1098/2017 (PIL-W)
(FOR STAY APPLICATION ON IA 118155/2017)];
[ITEM NO.7.8 - W.P.(C) No. 1129/2017 (PIL-W)
(FOR STAY APPLICATION ON IA 122573/2017)];
[ITEM NO.9 - T.P.(C)NO.1990/2017 - (FOR ADMISSION AND I.A.
NO.112338/2017 - STAY APPLICATION)]; AND
[ITEM NO.17 - W.P.(C)NO.33/2018 (FOR ADMISSION)]

Date : 09-02-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. A.K. Behera, Adv.
Mr. V. K. Verma, AOR
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Adv.
Mr. Kripa Shanker Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Verma, Adv.

- 2 -

Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Maneesha Dhir, Adv.
Mr. Karan Batura, Adv.
Mr. Karan Kanwal, Adv.
Mr. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Chib, Adv.
Ms. Ruchira Goel, AOR
Mr. Asif Ahmed, Adv.
Ms. Pracheta Kar, Adv.
Mr. Vikramaditya, Adv.
Mr. Rudrajit Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Parasaran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Muhammad Khan, Adv.
Mr. Omar Hooda, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. R.V. Prabhat, Adv.
Mr. Varun Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Prabha Swami, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Swami, AOR
Ms. Divya Swami, Adv.

Mr. Arvind P. Datar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.
Mr. M.V. Swaroop, Adv.
Mr. N. Sai Vinod, Adv.
Ms. Smriti Shah, Adv.
Mr. Divyanshu Rai, Adv.

Mr. Rupesh Kumar, AOR
Ms. Pankhuri Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anand Varma, AOR
Ms. Shubhangi Jain, Adv.

Mr. Arun Monga, Adv.
Ms. Divya Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Marrellina, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Jha, Adv.
Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. K. Krishna Kumar, AOR

- 3 -

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR
Mr. A. Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Sudipto Sircar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Tulika Chikker, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.K. Venugopal, AG
Mr. A.R. Nandkarni, ASG
fMr. Harish V. Shanker, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, Adv.
Ms. Rukmani Bobde, Adv.
Ms. Sradha Deshmukh, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Shashank, Adv.
Ms. Sumi P.S., Adv.
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

Ms. Shivani Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Prakash Ranjan Nayak, AOR

Mr. Zoheb Hossain, AOR
Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned Attorney General for India.

In the course of hearing, suggestions for an interim order in respect of Central Administrative Tribunal have been filed. The suggestions read as follows :

"1. Staying the composition of Search-cum-Selection Committee as prescribed in Column 4 of the Schedule to the Tribunal, Appellate Tribunal and Other Authorities (Qualification, experience and other conditions of service of members) Rules, 2017 both in respect of Chairman/Judicial Members and Administrative Members. A further direction to constitute an interim Search-cum-Selection Committee during the pendency of this W.P. in respect of both Judicial/Administrative members as under :

- 4 -

- a. Chief Justice of India or his nominee - Chairman
- b. Chairman of the Central Administrative Tribunal - Member
- c. Two Secretaries nominated by the Government of India - Members

2. Appointment to the post of Chairman shall be made by nomination by the Chief Justice of India.

3. Stay the terms of office of 3 years as prescribed in Column 5 of the Schedule to the Tribunal, Appellate Tribunal and other Authorities (Qualification, experience and other conditions of service of members) Rules, 2017. A further direction fixing the term of office of all selectees by the aforementioned interim Search-cum-Selection Committee and consequent appointees as 5 years.

4. All appointments to be made in pursuance to the selection made by the interim Search-cum-Selection Committee shall be with conditions of service as applicable to the Judges of High Court.

5. A further direction to the effect that all the selections made by the aforementioned interim selection committee and the consequential appointment of all the selectees as Chairman/Judicial/Administrative members for a term of 5 years with conditions of service as applicable to Judges of High Court shall not be affected by the final outcome of the Writ Petition."

Mr. Venugopal, learned Attorney General has submitted that he has no objection if the suggestions, barring suggestion nos.4 and 5, are presently followed as an interim measure. On a query being made whether the said suggestions shall be made applicable to all tribunals, learned Attorney General answered in the affirmative.

He would, however, suggest that suggestions nos.4 and 5 should be recast as follows :

"4. All appointments to be made in pursuance to the selection made by the interim Search-cum-Selection Committee shall abide by the conditions of service as per the old Acts and the Rules.

- 5 -

5. A further direction to the effect that all the selections made by the aforementioned interim selection committee and the consequential appointment of all the selectees as Chairman/Judicial/Administrative members shall be for a period as has been provided in the old Acts and the Rules.

In view of the aforesaid, we accept the suggestions and direct that the same shall be made applicable for selection of the Chairpersons and the Judicial/Administrative/Technical/Expert Members for all tribunals.

List after twelve weeks along with W.P.(C)Nos.120 of 2012; 267 of 2012.

T.P.(C)No.1990 of 2017 stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Subhash Chander)
AR-cum-PS

(H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar

[Signed Order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.1990 OF 2017

Union of India & Ors.

....Petitioners

Versus

Employees Provident Fund Appellate Tribunal
Bar Association

....Respondent

O R D E R

This is a petition seeking transfer of Writ Petition(C) No.6702 of 2017 titled "Employees Provident Fund Appellate Tribunal Bar Association v. Union of India" pending before the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi.

We have heard Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned Attorney General for India and Ms. Shivani Kapoor, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Bar Association.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection if the above petition is transferred to this Court.

In view of the aforesaid, it is directed that the abovementioned writ petition pending before the High Court of Delhi be transferred to this Court and registered as a Transferred Case. It shall be listed along with other connected cases.

The transfer petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....CJI.
[DIPAK MISRA]

.....J.
[A.M. KHANWILKAR]

New Delhi.
February 09, 2018.

.....J.
[Dr. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).279/2017

KUDRAT SANDHU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

([ONLY I.A.NO. 38839/2018 IN W.P. (C)NO.279/2017 ONLY TO BE LISTED
ON 20.03.2018])

Date : 20-03-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Sidharth Luthra, Sr.Adv.
Ms.Maneesha Dhir, Adv.
Mr.Karan Batura, Adv.
Ms.Anushree Prashit Kapadia, Adv.

Mr.Arun Monga, Adv.
Ms.Divya Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR
Mr.Vyom Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Ms.Sanya Negi, Adv.

Mr.Ajay Bansal, Adv.
Mr.Gaurav Yadava, Adv.
Ms.Veena Bansal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.K.K.Venugopal, AG
Ms.Rukmani Bobde, Adv.
Mr.H.V.Sharma, Adv.
Mr.S.S.Shamsheri, Adv.
Ms.Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.
Mr.Rohit Bhat, Adv.
Mr.Harish V.Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Zoheb Hossain, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.No.38839 of 2018 in W.P.(C)No.279/2017

This is an application for clarification of the order dated 09.02.2018.

Mr.K.K.Venugopal, learned Attorney General for India has highlighted various aspects.

Having heard Mr.K.K.Venugopal, learned Attorney General for India and Mr.Siddharth Luthra, learned senior counsel, Mr.Ajay Bansal and Mr.Arung Monga, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner/applicant(s), we think it appropriate to clarify the previous order on the following terms:

(i) The appointment of the Chairpersons of the Tribunals shall be made by the Central Government in consultation with the Chief Justice of India;

(ii) The Search-cum-Selection Committee for the appointment of Judicial Members of the Central Administrative Tribunal shall also proceed with the selection of Administrative Members;

(iii) The tenure of the Chairperson and the Judicial/Administrative/Expert/Technical Members of all the Tribunals shall be for a period of five years or the maximum age that was fixed/determined under the old Acts and Rules;

(iv) The Committee that has been constituted for selection of the Members of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal shall proceed as per our previous order as it has already proceeded with its work.

It is submitted by Mr.Siddharth Luthra, learned senior

counsel, Mr.Ajay Bansal and Mr.Arun Monga, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner/applicant(s) that the Members who are continuing in National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC), and whose terms have been allowed to continue till 15th March, 2018, including the Chairperson, may continue till 31.05.2018. The prayer is accepted. We are sure the appointments shall take place, in the meantime.

It is hereby further reiterated that the selection process that has commenced shall continue and no litigation in that regard shall be entertained. Any other grievance, in this regard, shall be dealt with at the time of final hearing of the main case.

I.A. stands disposed of accordingly.

W.P. (C)No.279/2017

Counter affidavit be filed within four weeks hence. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed within three weeks therefrom.

Let the writ petition be listed in the second week of July, 2018 for final disposal.

(Chetan Kumar)
Court Master

(H.S.Parasher)
Assistant Registrar

ITEM NO.31

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 279/2017

KUDRAT SANDHU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 640/2017 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 925/2017 (PIL-W)

(FOR ADMISSION)

W.P.(C) No. 33/2018 (PIL-W)

(FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS ON IA 65011/2018)

W.P.(C) No. 205/2018 (X)

W.P.(C) No. 467/2018 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 16-07-2018 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s)

WP 33/2018

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Maneesha Dhir, Adv.
Mr. Karan Batura, Adv.
Mr. Ashu Kansal, Adv.
Ms. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, Adv.

WP 467/2018

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Satyabrata Panda, Adv.
Mr. Manoranjan Paikaray, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha Purushotham, Adv.
Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, AOR

WP 640/2017

Mr. A.K. Behera, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma, AOR
Ms. Priya Hingorani, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Kripa Shanker Prasad, Adv.

WP 205/2018

Ms. Palak Mahajan, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR
Ms. Rhea Dube, Adv.

WP 925/2017

Mr. Rupesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Pravesh Bahuguna, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Rawat, Adv.

WP 279/2017

Mr. Arun Monga, Adv.
Ms. Divya Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.

Ms. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, AG
Mr. Maninder Singh, ASG
Mr. S.S. Shamsery, Adv.
Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.
Ms. Rukmini Bobde, Adv.
Mr. Harish V. Shekhar, Adv.
Ms. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bhat, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadava, Adv.
Ms. Veena Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Ajayveer Singh Jain, Adv.
Mr. Uday Ram Bokadia, Adv.
Ms. Divya Garg, Adv.
Mr. Sonal Jain, AOR

Mr. Zoheb Hossain, AOR
Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner in W.P.(C) No. 33/2018 submits that certain positions in the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC) have not yet been filled up though vacancies are there.

Mr. A.K. Behera, learned counsel for the

petitioner in W.P.(C) No. 640/2017 submitted in respect of the Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) that though the matter has reached the final stage, yet the persons have not yet been appointed.

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned Attorney General for India assisted by Mr. R. Balasubramanian, learned counsel shall obtain instructions in this regard.

If any anomaly is there, it will be open to learned counsel to serve a copy of the same by way of a written statement to Mr. R. Balasubramanian, who in turn, may brief the learned Attorney General.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, learned counsel shall also submit a statement in writing as regards the Armed Forces Tribunal (AFT), a copy of which shall be served on Mr. R. Balasubramanian.

At this juncture, we may note that there is some confusion with regard to the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) as regards the age of superannuation. We make it clear that the person selected as Member of the ITAT will continue till the age of 62 years and the person holding the post of President, shall continue till the age of 65 years.

Let the matter be listed on 13.8.2018.

WP(C) No. 467/2018

Let this matter be listed alongwith Writ Petition (Civil) No. 279/2017.

(Deepak Guglani)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 279 OF 2017

KUDRAT SANDHU

..Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

..Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 558 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 561 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 640 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 1016 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 788 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 925 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 1098 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 1129 OF 2017

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 33 OF 2018

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 205 OF 2018

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 467 OF 2018

WITH

TRANSFERRED CASE (CIVIL) NO 49 OF 2018

AND WITH

TRANSFERRED CASE (CIVIL) NO 51 OF 2018

ORDER

Dr D Y CHANDRACHUD, J

1 By an order dated 20 March 2018, we had clarified the previous order dated 9 February 2018. Clause (iii) of the clarifications is relevant and reads as follows:

“(iii) The tenure of the Chairperson and the Judicial/Administrative/Expert/Technical Members of all the

Tribunals shall be for a period of five years or the maximum age that was fixed/determined under the old Acts and Rules;"

On 16 July 2018, this Court issued the following directions in regard to the age of the superannuation of Member of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal:

"At this juncture, we may note that there is some confusion with regard to the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) as regards the age of superannuation. We make it clear that the person selected as Member of the ITAT will continue till the age of 62 years and the person holding the post of President, shall continue till the age of 65 years."

CESTAT:

2 In IA 113281 of 2018, the applicant is an Additional District and Sessions Judge in the State of West Bengal, who has been selected as Member (Judicial) in the CESTAT. The notification of appointment of six officers who have been selected as Member (Judicial), including the applicant, stipulates that they shall hold office for a period of five years or till attaining the age of 62 years, whichever is earlier "in terms of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order dated 20 March 2018". A member of the judicial service would have ordinarily continued until the date of superannuation in the state judicial service, subject to the service rules. It would be manifestly inappropriate to adopt an interpretation as a result of which, upon assuming office as Member (Judicial) in CESTAT the officer will have a tenure which will expire after five years, if it falls prior to attaining the age of 62 years. We, accordingly, are of the view that the clarification issued for the ITAT in the order dated 20 March 2018 needs to be reiterated in the case of the members of the CESTAT, which

we do. We clarify that a person selected as Member of the CESTAT will continue until the age of 62 years while a person holding the post of President shall continue until the age of 65 years.

AFT:

3 Members of the Armed Forces Tribunal shall hold office until the attainment of the age of 65 years. Chairpersons who have been former Judges of the Supreme Court shall hold office until the attainment of the age of 70 years.

CAT:

4 In the case of the Central Administrative Tribunal, we clarify that the old rules/provisions shall continue to apply.

5 We direct the Union government to file a status report before this Court within a period of two weeks setting out position with respect to each Tribunal, including the vacancies and the stage of the selection process. IA No.113281 of 2018 is disposed of accordingly.

.....CJI
[DIPAK MISRA]

.....J
[A M KHANWILKAR]

.....J
[Dr D Y CHANDRACHUD]

**New Delhi;
August 21, 2018**

ITEM NO.1505

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 279/2017

KUDRAT SANDHU

Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondents

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 558/2017 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 561/2017 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 640/2017 (X)W.P.(C) No. 1016/2017 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 788/2017 (X)W.P.(C) No. 925/2017 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 1098/2017 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 1129/2017 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 33/2018 (PIL-W)W.P.(C) No. 205/2018 (X)W.P.(C) No. 467/2018 (X)T.C.(C) No. 49/2018 (XVI-A)T.C.(C) No. 51/2018 ()

Date : 21-08-2018 This matter was called on for pronouncement of order today.

For Petitioner

Mr. Rupesh Kumar, AOR
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Pravesh Bahuguna, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

Mr. V.B. Saharya, Adv.
Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Jatin Ghuliani, Adv.
Mr. Prakash Ranjan Nayak, AOR

Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, AOR
Mr. Manoranjan Paikaray, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha Purushotham, Adv.

Mr. Arun Monga, Adv.
Ms. Divya Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Marcellina Kalikotey, Adv.
Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR

Ms. Maneesha Dhir, Adv.
Mr. Karan Batura, Adv.
Ms. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, AOR

Mr. Anand Varma, AOR
Ms. Shubhangi Jain, Adv.
Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Adv.

Ms. Ruchira Goel, AOR
Mr. Nikhil Swami, AOR
Mr. V. K. Verma, AOR
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, AOR
Mr. K. Krishna Kumar, AOR
Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, AOR
Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

For Respondents

Ms. S.S. Shamsbery, Adv.
Ms. Rukmini Bobde, Adv.
Mr. Harish V. Shekhar, Adv.
Ms. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Zoheb Hossain, AOR
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadava, Adv.
Ms. Veena Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR
Mr. Sonal Jain, AOR

Hon'ble Dr. Justice D.Y. Chandrachud pronounced the order of the Bench comprising Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India, Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.M. Khanwilkar and His Lordship.

In terms of the signed reportable order, I.A. No. 113281/2018 in Writ Petition (C) No. 279/2017 is disposed of.

I.A. No. 105561/2018 in Writ Petition (C) No. 279/2017 also stands disposed of.

(Deepak Guglani)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar

(signed reportable order is placed on the file)